

BLM – El Centro Field Office

Comments submitted by the OHV Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #8d – Response and explanation should reference the current frequency in which the land manager offers training courses.
- #12a – For clarification, this current grant cycle is “2008-2009”.

Ground Operations	G08-01-XX-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No comments	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Staff – “Camp Host Volunteer” appears to be listed twice.	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• #6 – “Site design precludes the need for the above measures” appears to be checked in error.	

Law Enforcement	G08-01-XX-L01
Needs Assessment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No comments	
Law Enforcement Certification	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No comments	

Project Cost Estimate
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Equipment Purchases – Applicant may want to provide more detail for “Ranger vehicle set up”. Equipment Purchases – “Ranger vehicle equipment removal” should be under the “Others” category.

Planning – Imperial Sand Dunes	G08-01-XX-P01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">A – Applicant may want to identify the acronym CDCA.	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">No comments	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">#6 – Explanation does not appear to support the response.#7 – “Project will complete environmental review for an OHV Development Project” does not appear to support the explanation provided.	

Restoration - WECO	G08-01-XX-R01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">A – Delete duplicate paragraphs regarding “acres” from this item which are included in item C.	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Contracts – Applicant may want to describe how the “Restoration Crew” and “Survey” will be utilized in this project.Materials / Supplies – “Information Kiosks” not supported in the project description.Others – Applicant may want to provide additional details for “Printing”	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">#2 – “ACEC” is mentioned in the description provided. Applicant should consider checking “Sensitive areas”.#9 – The project does not appear to involve scientific and cultural studies and a response would not be applicable to this item.	

Restoration - NECO	G08-01-XX-R02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> E – The project does not appear to involve planning for Restoration and would thus, not require a response. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Equipment Purchases – “GPS Cameras” should be moved to the “Materials / Supplies” category since the single item is less than \$1,000. Others – Applicant may want to provide additional details for “Printing” Others – “Construction Materials”, “Restoration Materials”, and “rakes ...” should be moved under the “Materials / Supplies” category. Applicant may want to use the “Note” feature to allow for additional detail since description was cut-off. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #2 – Applicant should enter a number for the last three items checked and include the names only in the description. #4 – Explanation does not appear to support “...alternative OHV routes ...”. #9 – The project does not appear to involve scientific and cultural studies and a response would not be applicable to this item. 	

Education & Safety	G08-01-XX-S01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comments 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff – If "Park Ranger" is performing enforcement activities, this would not be applicable for this project. The applicant may want to provide details of the activities this staff will perform for this project. Staff – Rate for staff costs appears excessive for several staff listed. “Costs shall be computed according to the prevailing wage (for contracted services) or salary scale (for Applicant’s staff), and may include benefits...that are customarily charged by the by the Grantee or contractor” (reference section 4970.08(b)(2)(A) of the 2008 Regulations). Staff – “US Forest Service Contract” and “Park Service Contract” should be listed under the “Contracts” category. Staff – Several staff listed appear to be duplicates. Applicant may want to provide additional detail. Contracts – “Rescue Buggies Repair and Upgrades” should be listed under the “Equipment Use Expenses” category. Applicant may want to provide additional details to clarify “Repair” which is also listed in the law enforcement project. Others – “Training” not supported in project description. 	

Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No comments